arXiv:hep-th/9802038v1 6 Feb 1998

SPIN EFFECTS ON THE CYCLOTRON FREQUENCY FOR A DIRAC ELECTRON

Giovanni SALESI

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università Statale di Catania, 95129–Catania, Italy; and

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare–Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy;

e-mail: Giovanni.Salesi@ct.infn.it

and

Erasmo RECAMI

Facoltà di Ingegneria, Università statale di Bergamo, Dalmine (BG), Italy;

I.N.F.N.–Sezione di Milano, Milan, Italy; and

C.C.S., State University at Campinas, Campinas, S.P., Brazil;

e-mail: Erasmo.Recami@mi.infn.it

Abstract

The Barut–Zanghi (BZ) theory can be regarded as the most satisfactory picture of a classical spinning electron and constitutes a natural "classical limit" of the Dirac equation. The BZ model has been analytically studied in some previous papers of ours in the case of free particles. By contrast, in this letter we consider the case of external fields, and a previously found equation of the motion is generalized for a non-free spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particle. In the important case of a spinning charge in a uniform magnetic field, we find that its angular velocity (along its circular orbit around the magnetic field direction) is slightly different from the classical "cyclotron frequency" $\omega_{\rm class} \equiv eH/m$ which is expected to hold for spinless charges. As a matter of fact, the angular velocity does depend on the spin orientation. As a consequence, the electrons with magnetic moment $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ parallel to the magnetic field do rotate with a frequency greater with respect to electrons endowed with a $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ antiparallel to $\boldsymbol{H}$.

PACS numbers: 03.65.-w; 03.65.Sq; 14.60.Cd

(†) Work supported by INFN and by CNPq.

1 The Barut–Zanghi model and the free-particle solutions

In the last twenty years, renewed interest arose for classical theories of electrons and spin[1]; in particular, for those approaches —as the classical Barut–Zanghi's (BZ) model of the relativistic spinning electron[2–5], which we shall refer to in this paper— that involve the so-called *zitterbewegung* (zbw).[6] In the Barut–Zanghi theory the classical electron was actually characterized, besides by the usual pair of conjugate variables (x^μ, p^μ), also by a second pair of conjugate *classical* spinorial variables $(\psi, \overline{\psi})$, representing internal degrees of freedom, which are functions of the (proper) time τ measured in the center-of-mass (CM) frame; the CM frame being the one in which it is identically $\boldsymbol{p} = 0$ at any instant of time. Barut and Zanghi, then, introduced a classical Lagrangian that writes [A^μ is the electromagnetic potential, and the vacuum light speed c is equal to 1]:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} i\lambda(\dot{\overline{\psi}}\psi - \overline{\psi}\dot{\psi}) + p_\mu(\dot{x}^\mu - \overline{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi) + eA_\mu\overline{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi \ , \tag{1}$$

where λ has the dimension of an action, and ψ and $\overline{\psi} \equiv \psi^\dagger\gamma^0$ are ordinary $\mathbb{C}^4$–bispinors, the dot meaning derivation with respect to τ. The four Euler–Lagrange equations, with $-\lambda = \hbar = 1$, obtained by varying $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to $\psi, \overline{\psi}, x^\mu, p^\mu$, are the following:

$$\dot{\psi} \ = \ -i\not{\pi}\psi, \qquad\qquad \dot{\overline{\psi}} \ = \ i\overline{\psi}\not{\pi}, \tag{2a}$$

$$\dot{\pi}^\mu \ = \ eF^{\mu\nu}\dot{x}_\nu, \qquad\qquad \dot{x}^\mu \ = \ \overline{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi, \tag{2b}$$

where $F^{\mu\nu}$ is the electromagnetic tensor, and π is the kinetical impulse

$$\pi^\mu \equiv p^\mu - eA^\mu, \qquad\qquad \not{\pi} \equiv \gamma_\mu\pi^\mu. \tag{3}$$

Furthermore, it can be shown that quantity $\pi_\mu\overline{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi \equiv \pi_\mu\dot{x}^\mu$ results to be always a conserved quantity [assumed to be equal to m: see eq.(9a)]. Notice that, instead of adopting the variables ψ and $\overline{\psi}$, we can work in terms of the following set of independent dynamical variables

$$x^\mu \ , \ \pi^\mu \ ; \ v^\mu \ , S^{\mu\nu} \tag{4a}$$

where

$$S^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{i}{4}\,\overline{\psi}\,[\gamma^{\mu},\gamma^{\nu}]\,\psi \tag{4b}$$

is the *spin tensor* met in the Dirac theory; then, one gets the following equations of the motion:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\pi}^{\mu} \;=\; eF^{\mu\nu}v_{\nu} & (5a)\\ \dot{x}^{\mu} \;=\; v^{\mu} & (5b)\\ \dot{v}^{\mu} \;=\; 4\,S^{\mu\nu}\pi_{\nu} & (5c)\\ \dot{S}^{\mu\nu} \;=\; v^{\nu}\pi^{\mu} - v^{\mu}\pi^{\nu}\,. & (5d) \end{cases}$$

The first equation is the well-known Lorentz equation of the motion for a (spinless) charged particle inside an electromagnetic (em) field [but for the present case of spinning electrons we have $\pi^{\mu} \neq mv^{\mu}$ (see below)!]; the second one is nothing but the definition for the 4-velocity; the third equation is formally very similar to the first one, with v and π interchanged and the spin tensor replacing the em tensor. The last equation expresses the conservation of the total angular momentum $J^{\mu\nu}$, sum of the orbital angular momentum $L^{\mu\nu}$ and of $S^{\mu\nu}$:

$$\dot{J}^{\mu\nu} = \dot{L}^{\mu\nu} + \dot{S}^{\mu\nu} = 0\,, \tag{6}$$

being $\dot{L}^{\mu\nu} = v^{\mu}\pi^{\nu} - v^{\nu}\pi^{\mu}$ from the very definition of L. Notice that only the first couple of equations is present in the case of spinless particles, while the second couple of equations is directly related to the existence of spin. Starting from these Euler-Lagrange equations, in ref.[5] we worked out the equation of the motion for the space-time coordinates x^{μ} in the particular case of *free* electrons ($A^{\mu} = 0$):

$$v \;=\; \frac{p}{m} - \frac{\ddot{v}}{4m^2}\,, \tag{7}$$

when assuming $p = \text{constant}$ and $p^2 = m^2$. The general solution of this equation was shown to be:[2]

$$v \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{3} v_i\,, \tag{8a}$$

where

$$v_i \;=\; \frac{p}{m} + \left[v(0) - \frac{p}{m}\right]\cos(\omega_i\tau) + \frac{\dot{v}(0)}{2m}\sin(\omega_i\tau) \qquad i = 1,2,3\,, \tag{8b}$$

with[#1]

$$\omega_1 = 0\,; \qquad \omega_2 = 2m\,; \qquad \omega_3 = -2m\,. \tag{8c}$$

A null frequency ω_1 is associated to a rectilinear uniform motion with constant velocity $v = p/m$, like in the case of a macroscopical free body or of a non-spinning free particle. The particular solutions corresponding to ω_2 and ω_3, which denounce explicitly the presence of spin, exhibit the already mentioned phenomenon of zbw. The zbw is nothing but the spin motion, or "internal motion" [since it can be observed in the CM frame, where by definition $\boldsymbol{p} = 0$], which is expected to exist for spinning particles only. It arises because the motion of the electrical charge does not coincide with the motion of the CM, so that spinning particles actually appear as extended-like objects.[7] In the Dirac theory, indeed, the operators velocity ($\boldsymbol{\alpha}$) and impulse ($-i\boldsymbol{\nabla}$) are *not* parallel, in general. Therefore, for motions endowed with the frequencies ω_2, ω_3), a zbw motion is to be added to the translational, or "external", motion of the CM, whose velocity is p/m (let us recall that the latter motion is the only one to be present for scalar particles).

In other words, the 4-velocity v contains in general the usual term p/m plus a term describing a motion rapidly oscillating with the characteristic zbw angular velocities $\omega = \pm 2m$. Let us explicitly observe that the general solution (4c) represents a *helical* motion in the ordinary 3-space (a result met also in other models and approaches[#2] implying a zbw). Furthermore, in ref.[5] it has been found that free polarized particles (with the spin projection s_z along the z-axis equal to $\pm\frac{1}{2}$) are endowed with internal *uniform circular* motions around the z-axis. In such a way, the only *classical* values for s_z corresponding to classical uniform motions in the CM frame belong to the discrete *quantum* spectrum $\pm\frac{1}{2}$. The orbit radius in the CM frame was found to be equal to $|\boldsymbol{V}|/2m$ (quantity $\boldsymbol{V}$ being the orbital 3-velocity), which, in the special case of a *light-like* zbw, turns out to be equal to half the Compton wave-length.

In the next section we want to generalize eq.(7) for the case of an electron in an

[#1] Obviously the quantities $\omega_i \equiv (\mathrm{d}\theta/\mathrm{d}\tau)_i$ can be considered as proper angular velocities only in the CM frame or in non-relativistic frames; otherwise one has to multiply the values given in eqs.(8c) by the Lorentz factor m/ε (geting the so-called relativistic decreasing of the frequencies).

[#2] A physical role of the zbw has been met, and studied, even in the non-relativistic framework[7,8] and recently extended to supersymmetry and superstrings[3].

external em field, and to write down its analytical solutions in the special case of a uniform magnetic field.

2 The motion of a classical Dirac electron in a uniform magnetic field

Before going on, we have to assume two important constraints for physical consistency with the standard relativistic quantum mechanics; namely:

$$\begin{cases} \pi_\mu v^\mu \;=\; m & \text{(9a)} \\ \pi^2 \;=\; m^2 + eF^{\mu\nu}S_{\mu\nu}\,. & \text{(9b)} \end{cases}$$

For free electrons, the condition $p_\mu v^\mu = m$ represents the "classical limit" of the standard Dirac equation $\widehat{p}_\mu \gamma^\mu \psi = m\,\psi$ (with $\widehat{p} \equiv i\partial$), as it was shown in previous works.[2–4] Analogously, in presence of external em fields, eq.(9a) may be regarded as the "classical limit" of the Dirac equation in an external em field, namely $\widehat{\pi}_\mu \gamma^\mu \psi = m\,\psi$ (with $\widehat{\pi}_\mu \equiv i\partial_\mu - eA_\mu$). Notice that for spinless particles this constraint reduces to an identity; in fact, in the absence of spin, the kinetical impulse and the velocity $v_\mu = \pi_\mu/m$ get parallel, so that eq.(9a) follows by multiplying both members by v^μ.

The second condition is nothing but the "classical limit" of the so-called "second-order Dirac equation", obtained by left-multiplying the usual Dirac equation by $\widehat{\pi}_\mu \gamma^\mu + m$[9]. In fact, from

$$(\widehat{\pi}_\mu \gamma^\mu + m)\,(\widehat{\pi}_\mu \gamma^\mu - m)\,\psi \;=\; 0\,,$$

it follows

$$\widehat{\pi}^2\,\psi \;=\; (m^2 + eF^{\mu\nu}\widehat{S}_{\mu\nu})\,\psi\,, \tag{10}$$

where $\widehat{S}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{i}{4}\,[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]$ indicates the spin tensor operator. For free ($F^{\mu\nu} = 0$) spinning particles this constraint reduces to $\pi^2 = m^2$, which *for scalar particles* holds both in the presence and in the absence of external fields (of course, the spin-field term $eF^{\mu\nu}S_{\mu\nu}$ is not present for spinless particles). For the case of a purely magnetic field ($\boldsymbol{E} = 0$), that we are going to analyse, the constraint (9b) assumes the form:

$$\varepsilon \;=\; \sqrt{m^2 + \boldsymbol{\pi}^2 - 2e\boldsymbol{s}\cdot\boldsymbol{H}}\,, \tag{11}$$

since for the energy ε it holds $\varepsilon \equiv p^0 \equiv \pi^0 + e\varphi = \pi^0$).

In the non-relativistic limit, $\pi^2 \ll m^2$, from eq.(11) we easily get just the expected Hamiltonian for a spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particle in a magnetic field:

$$\varepsilon \;\sim\; m + \frac{\boldsymbol{\pi}^2}{2m} - \frac{e\boldsymbol{s}}{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{H}\,, \tag{12}$$

with the correct gyromagnetic ratio $g = 2$.

By means of a procedure analogous to the one followed for the free case, we can now deduce the equation of the motion in the presence of an external em field. By deriving eq.(5c) one gets:

$$\ddot{v}^\mu \;=\; 4\dot{S}^{\mu\nu}\pi_\nu + 4S^{\mu\nu}\dot{\pi}_\nu\;. \tag{13}$$

Inserting eqs.(5d) and (5a) into eq.(13), and exploiting constraints (9a) and (9b), we finally obtain[#3] the equation:

$$\ddot{v}^\mu - 4m\pi^\mu + 4m^2 v^\mu + 4ev^\mu F_{\lambda\rho}S^{\lambda\rho} - 4eS^{\mu\nu}F_{\nu\rho}v^\rho \;=\; 0\,. \tag{14}$$

By comparison with eq.(7), holding for free particles, in the r.h.s. of the general equation of the motion (14) we see the appearance of two additional spin-field terms. The analytic solutions of eq.(14) can be easily found in the simple, but important, case of an external *uniform magnetic field* $\boldsymbol{H}$. Let us take the magnetic field oriented along the z-axis at all times:

$$\boldsymbol{H} \;=\; (\,0;\;0;\;H)\,. \tag{15}$$

The quantum mechanical theory (the Dirac equation) entails the conservation of the z-component of the spin vector: $s_z = \pm\frac{1}{2}$. According to the corrispondence principle between quantum mean values and classical values, during the precession of the classical spin vector it will be $S^{12} = s_z =$ constant. Furthermore, the only nonzero components of the em tensor are $F^{21} = -F^{12} = H$. We restrict ourselves to the xy-plane where (by analogy with the behaviour of spinless charges involved by the Maxwell equations) we

[#3] In the original paper by Barut and Zanghi[2] a *different* equation of the motion in the presence of an em field was deduced. Actually, those authors, besides having adopted some peculiar constraints, were working not in the ordinary spacetime, but in a particular 5-*dimensional manifold*.

expect to have a uniform circular motion of the spinning charge due to the Lorentz force. From eq.(14) we get:

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{v}_x - 4m\pi_x + 4\left(m^2 - 3es_zH\right)\, v_x = 0 & \text{(16a)} \\ \ddot{v}_y - 4m\pi_y + 4\left(m^2 - 3es_zH\right)\, v_y = 0\,. & \text{(16b)} \end{cases}$$

By deriving with respect to time and exploiting eq.(5a), we finally get:

$$\begin{cases} \dddot{v}_x + 4\left(m^2 - 3es_zH\right)\, \dot{v}_x - 4meHv_y = 0 & \text{(17a)} \\ \dddot{v}_y + 4\left(m^2 - 3es_zH\right)\, \dot{v}_y + 4meHv_x = 0\,. & \text{(17b)} \end{cases}$$

This system of equations yields uniform circular motions whose angular velocities ω_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are roots of the "characteristic" 3-order algebraic equation:

$$\omega^3 - 4\left(m^2 - 3es_zH\right)\, \omega + 4meH \;=\; 0\,. \tag{18}$$

Even with the highest magnetic fields today experimentally achievable in laboratory, the following condition always holds between the "intrisic" frequency $2m$ (that is, the zbw angular velocity for free particles) and the "external" *cyclotron frequency* $\omega_{\rm H} = eH/m$:

$$\frac{eH}{m} \;\ll\; 2m\,. \tag{19}$$

As a consequence, we may write down the characteristic frequencies as follows:

$$\omega_1 \sim \omega_{\rm H}\left[1 - \frac{3\omega_{\rm H}}{m}\, s_z\right]\,; \qquad \omega_2 \sim 2m\left[1 - \frac{3\omega_{\rm H}}{2m}\, s_z\right]\,; \qquad \omega_3 \sim -\,2m\left[1 - \frac{3\omega_{\rm H}}{2m}\, s_z\right]\,. \tag{20}$$

For zero external fields, i.e., $H = 0,\ \omega_{\rm H} = 0$, the above frequencies turn out —of course— to be equal to the ones found for free particles, eq.(8c). The "internal" frequencies $\omega_2,\ \omega_3$ are related to the zbw motion and are substancially identical to the ones ($\pm 2m$) found for free particles.

The important point is that *the "external" angular velocity ω_1 results slightly different from the cyclotron frequency $\omega_{\rm H}$* which is typical of ordinary (spinless) charges in a magnetic field. The frequency shift is a function of the spin vector orientation, so that *the spin-up and the spin-down polarized electrons rotate with different angular velocities*:

$$\frac{\Delta\omega}{\omega_{\rm H}} \equiv \frac{\omega_{-\frac{1}{2}} - \omega_{+\frac{1}{2}}}{\omega_{\rm H}} \sim 3\,\frac{\omega_{\rm H}}{m}\,. \tag{21}$$

Thus, we found that spinning charges with their magnetic moment $\boldsymbol{\mu} \equiv -e\boldsymbol{s}/m)$ *parallel* to the magnetic field rotate with a frequency *greater* than the one of spinning charges having $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ antiparallel to $\boldsymbol{H}$. The present, classical approach to the problem of a charge in a uniform magnetic field turns out to be very suitable for describing *(non-bounded) electrons performing large orbits in vacuum*, which behave as classical bodies.

In fact, in cyclotrons, magnetic rings or bottles, and non-linear accelerators, the angular velocity is usually assumed to be equal to the classical value ω_{H} for both the possible polarizations $s_z = \pm\frac{1}{2}$. The small frequency shift predicted, on the contrary, by eq.(21) could be observed by means of an *ad hoc* experiment, in which a device measuring s_z (or, anyway, interacting in a *different* way with the different polarizations) is placed at a point along the orbit. For eq.(21), a particle beam, initially containing both the spin components, will progressively spread along the orbital motion: so that the spin-down particles (which rotate faster) will slowly separate from the spin-up particles.

For example, for a cyclotron orbit with a diameter $d = 1\,\mathrm{m}$ only and a magnetic field $H = 3.4 \cdot 10^{-4}\,\mathrm{T}$, we obtain, for electrons, the orbital speed $v = \frac{1}{10}c$ (which may be still considered as non-relativistic, so that the relativistic frequency decrease is negiligible), and the angular velocity $\omega \sim 6 \cdot 10^{7}\,\mathrm{Hz}$. As a consequence, the frequency shift $\Delta\omega$ will be about $1.34 \cdot 10^{-6}\,\mathrm{Hz}$, which implies a phase difference of 2π (corresponding to one full orbit) in about $7.5 \cdot 10^{6}\,\mathrm{s}$. After a lapse of time $\Delta t = 40$ minutes, the spin-down electrons are expected to precede the spin-up ones by a distance $\Delta l = 1\,\mathrm{mm}$. As a consequence, the spin-down particles will interact with a suitable detector at a time $\Delta\tau = 4.27 \cdot 10^{-10}\,\mathrm{s}$ earlier than the spin-up particles.

Acknowledgements

The scientific collaboration of G.Andronico, G.G.N.Angilella, A.Bonasera, M.Borrometi, L.Bosi, G.Cavalleri, L.D'Amico, S.Esposito, A.Gigli Berzolari, G.Di Lorenzo, S.Dimartino, C.Dipietro, P.Falsaperla, G.Fonte, H.Hernández F., L.C.Kretly, L.Lo Monaco, S.Lo Nigro, G.Lucifora, G.Marchesini, E.C.Oliveira, M.Pignanelli, R.Petronzio, S.Sambataro, R.Turrisi, J.Vaz, D.Wisnivesky and M.Zamboni-Rached is also acknowl-

edged. For the kind, active cooperation special thanks are due to Rosellina Salesi, G.Giuffrida and M.T.Vasconselos.

References

[1] H.C. Corben: Phys. Rev. 121 (1961) 1833; *Classical and quantum theories of spinning particles* (Holden-Day; San Francisco, 1968); Phys. Rev. D30 (1984) 2683; Am. J. Phys. 45 (1977) 658; 61 (1993) 551; Int. J. Theor. Phys. 34 (1995) 19, and refs. therein; A.J. Kálnay et al.: Phys. Rev. 158 (1967) 1484; D1 (1970) 1092; D3 (1971) 2357; D3 (1971) 2977; H. Jehle: Phys. Rev. D3 (1971) 306; F. Riewe: Lett. Nuovo Cim. 1 (1971) 807; F.A. Berezin and M.S. Marinov: J.E.T.P. Lett. 21 (1975) 320; R. Casalbuoni: Nuovo Cimento A33 (1976) 389; G.A. Perkins: Found. Phys. 6 (1976) 237; D. Gutkowski, M. Moles and J.P. Vigier: Nuovo Cim. B39 (1977) 193; A.O. Barut: Z. Naturforsch. A33 (1978) 993; J.A. Lock: Am. J. Phys. 47 (1979) 797; M. Pauri: in *Lecture Notes in Physics*, vol. 135 (Springer-Verlag; Berlin, 1980), p. 615; G. Cavalleri: Nuovo Cim. B55 (1980) 392; Phys. Rev. D23 (1981) 363; C6 (1983) 239; Lett. Nuovo Cim. 43 (1985) 285. See also: F.A. Ikemori: Phys. Lett. B199 (1987) 239; Ph. Gueret: Lectures at the Bari university (Bari; 1989); M.H.McGregor: *The enigmatic electron* (Kluwer; Dordrecht, 1992); W.A. Rodrigues, J. Vaz and E. Recami: Found. Phys. 23 (1993) 459; G. Cavalleri and G. Salesi: in *Proceedings of "Physical Relativity Theory"* (British Soc. Phil. Sc.; London, 9-12 September, 1994).

[2] A.O. Barut and N. Zanghi: Phys. Rev. Lett. 52 (1984) 2009; A.O. Barut and A.J. Bracken: Phys. Rev. D23 (1981) 2454; D24 (1981) 3333; A.O. Barut and I.H. Duru: Phys. Rev. Lett. 53 (1984) 2355; A.O. Barut and M. Pavšič: Class. Quantum Grav. 4 (1987) L131.

[3] A.O. Barut and M. Pavšič: Phys. Lett. B216 (1989) 297; "The spinning minimal surfaces without the Grassmann variables", preprint IC/88/2 (ICTP; Trieste, 1988);

M. Pavšič: Phys. Lett. B221 (1989) 264; B205 (1988) 231; Class. Quant. Grav. 7 (1990) L187.

[4] E. Recami and G. Salesi: Phys. Rev. A (Jan.1998, in press); Adv. Appl. Cliff. Alg 6 (1996) 27-36; and in *Gravity, Particles and Space-Time*, ed. by P.Pronin and G. Sardanashvily (World Scient.; Singapore, (1996), pp.345-368; J. Vaz and W. A. Rodrigues: Phys. Lett. B319 (1993) 203; W.A. Rodrigues, J. Vaz, E. Recami and G. Salesi: Phys. Lett. B318 (1993) 623; M. Pavšič, E. Recami and W.A. Rodrigues: Hadronic J. 18 (1995) 97; M. Pavšič, E. Recami, W.A. Rodrigues, G.D. Maccarrone, F. Raciti and G. Salesi: Phys. Lett. B318 (1993) 481.

[5] G.Salesi and E. Recami: Phys. Lett. A190 (1994) 137; A195 (1994) E389.

[6] E. Schrödinger: Sitzunger. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Phys.-Math. Kl. 24 (1930) 418; 25 (1931) 1; A.H. Compton: Phys. Rev. 14 (1919) 20, 247, and refs. therein; G.E. Uhlenbeck and S.A. Goudsmit: Nature 117 (1926) 264; J. Frenkel: Z. Phys. 37 (1926) 243; T. Takabayasi and J.-P. Vigier: Progr. Theor. Phys. 18 (1957) 573; P.A.M. Dirac: *The principles of Quantum Mechanics* (Claredon; Oxford, 1958), 4^{th} edition, p. 262; J. Maddox: "Where Zitterbewegung may lead", Nature 325 (1987) 306.

[7] E. Recami and G. Salesi: Phys. Rev. A (Jan.1998, in press).

[8] G. Salesi: Mod. Phys. Lett. A11 (1996) 1815; G. Salesi and E. Recami: in *The Theory of the Electron*, ed. by J.Keller and Z.Oziewicz (UNAM Press; Mexico City, 1997), pp.269-278; D. Hestenes: Am. J. Phys. 39 (1971) 1028; 39 (1971) 1013; 47 (1979) 399; J. Math. Phys. 14 (1973) 893; 16 (1975) 573; 16 (1975) 556; 8 (1979) 798; Found. Phys. 15 (1985) 63; 20 (1990) 1213; 23 (1993) 365; D. Hestenes: *Space-time algebra* (Gordon & Breach; New York, 1966); *New Foundations for Classical Mechanics* (Kluwer; Dordrecht, 1986); D. Hestenes and G. Sobczyk: *Clifford Algebra to Geometric Calculus* (Reidel; Dordrecht, 1984); D. Hestenes and A. Weingartshofer (eds.): *The electron* (Kluwer; Dordrecht, 1991).

[9] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz: *Fisica Teorica*, vol.IV: *Teoria quantistica relativistica* (Editori Riuniti–Edizioni MIR; Roma, 1978), p.148.